#### INTRAMOLECULAR DIBLS-ALDER REACTION WITH FURAN-DIENE. COMPARISON OF REACTIVITY AND STEREOSELECTIVITY WITHIN A SET OF STRUCTURALLY RELATED SUBSTRATES OF POTENTIAL USE IN GIBBERELLIN TOTAL SYNTHESIS

Giovanni Appendino<sup>1</sup>, Jan Hoflack and Pierre J. De Clercq<sup>2\*</sup>

State University of Gent, Department of Chemistry, Iaboratory for **Organic** Synthesis, Rrijgslaan. 281 (S.4). B-w@ *Cent* (Belgium)

and

Giacomo Chiari and Mariano Calleri

Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Via San Massimo, 24, I-10123 Torino (Italy)

*(Receiued in UK 28 March 1988)* 

Abstract - The reactivity and stereoselectivity of the intramolecular cycloaddition of a set of structurally related Mels-Alder precursors 2-6 is studied. The kinetically controlled cycloaddition of acetylene yields in refluxing benzene a mixture of 7 and  $8$ , in which the latte predominates. The allene-derivative  $\underline{3b}$  was found to cycloadd at room temperature at the unactivated  $\pi$ -bond to yield a single adduct  $\underline{9b}$ . The structural elucidation of adducts <u>7b</u>, <u>8a</u> and <u>9b</u> was solved by X-ray diffraction. Olefin 4 gives the adduct 12 as sole diastereomeric adduct. A model is proposed for rationalizing the observed stereochemic outcome.

The application of intramolecular Diels-Alder strategy with furan-diene (IMDAF)<sup>3</sup> recently resulted in an expeditious total synthesis of  $(t)$ -GA<sub>5</sub> (eq 1, n = 0)<sup>4</sup>. As a further development we have been investigating the potential of a biomimetic variant of this route (eq 1, n = 1)<sup>5</sup>. An important step in the biosynthesis of the plant growth hormonal gibberellins indeed involves the ring contraction of the six-membered B-ring of the ent-kaurene skeleton into a five-membered ring as illustrated for GA<sub>12</sub>-aldehyde (eq 2)<sup>6</sup>.



CA12-ddehyde



 $\frac{a}{c}$  (CH<sub>2</sub>-C=C-COO)Li<sub>2</sub>, see table 1; <sup>b</sup> CH<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>; <sup>c</sup> Lindlar, EtOAc, H<sub>2</sub>; <sup>d</sup> Et<sub>3</sub>N, Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl, DMF; <sup>e</sup> LiSEt, THF,  $f$ t; HCl

### Scheme 1

During these synthetic studies a series of Diels-Alder precursors  $2-6$  were obtained, which differ only in the structure of the dienophilic side-chain (scheme 1). these constitute an ideal set for the purpose of gaining more Insight into the reactivity and stereoselectivity of this reaction type. In this paper ve wish to report on the result of these studies, including structural aspects of the obtained adducta. as revealed by the X-ray diffraction study of adducts  $\frac{7b}{10}$ ,  $8a$  and  $9b$ , by force field calculations and by some diagnostic  $^{1}$ H NPIR spectral data.

# The synthesis of Diels-Alder precursors 2-6 (scheme 1)

Starting from the known aldehyde  $\underline{1}'$ , reaction with the dianion of 2-butynoic acid $^3,$ followed by diazomethane treatment, invariably led to a mixture of acetylenes 2a and 2b, next to allenes  $3a$  and  $3b$ , the diastereomers with B-oriented hydroxy group at C-7 predominating (table 1). The assigned stereochemistry at C-7 follows from the X-ray structural determination of adducts <u>7b</u>, <u>8a</u> and <u>9b</u>, and is also in line with previous results<sup>4,7b,9</sup>. On a preparativ scale method 3 (table 1) gave, after HPLC purification, the acetylenes <u>2a</u> and <u>2b</u>, and the allene  $2b$ , in yields of 6  $\overline{x}$ , 18  $\overline{x}$  and 4  $\overline{x}$ , respectively, next to starting material (38  $\overline{x}$ ).





base, molar equivalents of cianion, solvent, temp; <sup>b</sup> combined yield; <sup>C</sup> determined by HPLC;<br>lithium 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidide; see ref. 8a; <sup>e</sup> N,N,N\*,N\*-tetramethylethylene diamine; lithium  $2,2,6,6$ -tetramethylpiperidide; see ref. 8a; see ref. 8b.

The effective isolation of pure allene  $3b$  proved elusive since it was found to cycloadd spontaneously during the isolation procedure (vide infra). The partial catalytic hydrogenation of  $2a$  and  $2b$  gave the desired Z-olefins  $4a$  and  $4b$ , respectively (90 % yield). The conversion of  $4b$  to the (E)-derivative  $6b$  proceeded via lithium ethyl thiolate induced isomerization on the

corresponding silylated 5b (68 % overall yield from  $4b$ )<sup>10</sup>. The same procedure applied on unprotected 4b gave, however, lactone 17b in 58 % isolated yield.

# Intramolecular cycloaddition of acetylenes 2a and 2b (scheme 2)

The Diels-Alder reaction of acetylenes 2a and 2b in refluxing benzene is slow and not very stereoselective. In the case of 2a, a 82 % conversion is realized after 7 days, leading to **adducts 2 and 8a in 12 % and 70 X isolated yield, respectively (ratio 15:85). A similar result**  is obtained for <u>2b</u>, leading to adducts <u>7b</u> and <u>8b</u> in a ratio 16:84 (80 **%** conversion). In order to improve on the reactivity and stereoselectivity, the cycloaddition of 2b was performed in water in the presence of B-cyclodextrin<sup>11</sup> : at 65°C, after 4 days, adducts 7b and 8b were isolated in **25 X and 67 X yields. respectively (ratio 27:73), while at 45'C yields of 6 % and 75 % (ratio 8:92), respectively, were obtained after 9 days. A similar enhancement of rate was observed in**  the five-membered ring case (eq 1,  $n = 0$ ), but in the latter case the adduct with  $\alpha$ -oxygen bridge was found to be kinetically preferred<sup>4</sup>.



# **Scheme 2**

**The structures of the obtained adducta were unambiguously solved via the X-ray diffraction**  studies of 7b and  $\frac{8a}{3}$  (figures 1 and 2)<sup>12</sup>. Whereas in the case of 7b the B-ring is forced to adopt a high energy quasi 1.3-diplanar conformation, a flattened chair conformation is available for the same ring in 8a. In both cases the C-ring adopts a strained chair conformation. The endocyclic torsion angles of the B-ring in the solid-state conformations of adducts 7b and 8a are **shown in figures 3 and 4, respectively.** 







The preferred conformations of  $7a$ ,  $7b$ ,  $8a$  and  $8b$  were determined by force field calculations **using Allinger's Ml2 program For that purpose. preliminary geometries were first generated by**  an enhanc<del>e</del>d version of the program SCA<sup>17</sup>. Cartesian coordinates obtained for these geometrie **15 were then used as input files for further manipulation by MacroModel** . **In practice we have found this combination to be a very efficient and reliable way for the finding of minimum-energy conformations of polycycllc derivatives (sea experimental).** 

In the case of 7b the lowest energy conformer possesses a geometry almost identical with that **found in the crystalline atate (figure 3). Interestingly, at least two other conformations,** 

involving twist-boat forms for the central B-ring, were found, which are only slightly higher in energy than the preferred one (conformational energy difference : 6 kJoule/mol). The same qualitative result was found for the epimer  $7a$  (figure 3). Both  $8a$  and  $8b$  yield a miminum-energy conformation almost identical to that found in the crystalline state of <u>8a</u> (figure 4). No other minimum-energy conformations expected to be populated (within 10 kJoule/mol range) were found.



<sup>a</sup> "average" conformation : 2 minimum-energy forms in each case posses geometries with torsion angles within indicated limits (betveen parentheses).

Figure 3. Endocyclic torsion angles for the B-ring of adduct  $\overline{I}$ : (i) : X-ray geometry of  $\overline{I}b$ . (ii) and (iii) : MM2 low-energy conformations for 7a and 7b with corresponding steri energies (kJoul/mol)



 $\alpha$ : K-OH at C-7 ;  $\beta$ :  $\beta$ -OH at C-7

Figure 4. Endocyclic torsion angles for the B-ring of adduct 8; (i): X-ray geometry of 8a preferred MM2 conformations for <u>8a</u> (<u>ii</u>) and for <u>8b</u> (<u>iii</u>) with steric energie (kJoul/mol)

It is interesting here to compare the experimental vicinal coupling constant values in the (C-6,C-7)-fragment with the corresponding calculated values for the minimized conformations of <u>7a, 7b</u>, <u>8a</u> and <u>8b</u>, that are shown in figures 3 and 4. As is apparent from table 2. a very fine agreement is observed between calculated and experimental values, also further indicating that the minimum-energy forms, which were found next to the lowest-energy form in the case of  $\overline{Ia}$  and 7b, are not substantially populated in solution.

Although the distinction between structures  $\frac{7}{6}$  and  $\frac{8}{3}$  was unambiguously solved via X-ray diffraction, some very diagnostic  ${}^{1}$ H NMR spectral differences between both adduct types are summarized in table 3. The products included in the table are the mono-acetylated derivatives at C-7 of adducts  $7a$ ,  $7b$ ,  $8a$  and  $8b$ . The following features deserve comment. (1) The down-field resonance of H-1 in adducts  $\underline{8}$ , compared to adducts  $\underline{7}$  ( $\Delta 80.4$  ppm). This effect is even further enhanced by derivatizing the C-13 hydroxy group with trichloroacetyl isocyanate<sup>17</sup> (TAI); whereas induced shift differences are rather small, even on proximate hydrogens (e.g., 0.00 to 0.14 for H-17), a 0.17 ppm downfield shift is observed for H-1 in the mono-acetylated derivatives of 8a and 8b upon TAI acylation. A perspective drawing of the preferred conformation of 8a (acetylated at C-13) illustrates the relative proximity of the centers at hand (figure 5); in the indicated conformation for the acyl-residue, the distance between H-1 and the carbonyl oxygen is 3.20  $\lambda^{15}$ .

Table 2. Comparison between experimental and calculated vicinal coupling constant values  $({}^{1}H$  NMR. Hz) in the  $C-6$ ,  $C-7$  fragment of adducts  $7$ ,  $8$  and 12

adduct	gxperimental <sup>D</sup> $J(H-7,H_2-6)$	calculated <sup>C</sup> $3J(H-7, H_{\alpha}-6)$	$J(H-7, Hg-6)$
	8.6, 8.6	$9.3(5.7-4.7)$	$7.4(1.4-1.7)$
	5.5, 1	4.9(9.4)	1.7(5.7)
	5.0, 10.0	4.9	11.2
	2.6, 3.2	2.5	3.5
	11.5, 3.6	11.4	3.9
$\frac{7a}{7b}$ $\frac{8a}{8b}$ $\frac{12a}{12b}$	2.0, 3.7	2.1	4.2

atomnumbering as in figures 3, 4 and 9;  $\degree$  in chloroformatommumbering as in tigures 3, 4 and 9;  $\check{\ }$  in chloroform-d<sub>1</sub> (<u>7b</u>, <u>12a, 12b</u>); in pyridine-d<sub>5</sub> (<u>7a</u>)<br>in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> (8a, 8b); see text, according to ref. 16; for <u>7</u>, see figure 3 : conformation <u>ii</u> and<br>conformati conformation <u>iii</u> between parentheses; for <u>8</u>, see figure 4 : see figure  $3$ : conformation  $11$  and conformation <u>111</u> between parentheses; for <u>8</u>, see figure 4 : conformation <u>ii</u> for <u>8a</u> and<br>conformation <u>iii</u> for <u>8b</u>; for <u>12</u>, see figure 9.

Table 3. Diagnostic <sup>1</sup>H NMR parameters for the distinction between 7-acetoxy adducts  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\underline{8}^{\mathbf{a}}$ 

adduct	chemical shift (ppm) $H-1$ (+ TAI) <sup>b</sup>	$H-2$ (+ TAI) <sup>b</sup>	$ ^{5}$ J(H2,H9)	$1^2J(H_2-6)$
7-acetoxy-7a	$6.70(+0.01)$	$7.19(+0.02)$	$< 0.4$ Hz	$20.8$ Hz
7-acetoxy-7b	6.76(0.00)	$7.18(+0.01)$	$0.4$ Hz	$21.1$ Hz
7-acetoxy-8a	$7.27(+0.17)$	7.17(0.00)	$1.0$ Hz	$16.5$ Hz
7-acetoxy-8b	$7.16(+0.17)$	7.28(0.00)	$0.9$ Hz	$18.2$ Hz

chloroform-d<sub>1</sub>, 200 MHz; <sup>b</sup> shift difference upon addition of trichloroacetyl isocyanate.



Figure 5. Stereodrawing of the preferred conformation of adduct 8a



Figure 6. Stereoview of 9b

(2) The presence of a long range coupling between H-2 and H-9 ( $\sim$  1.0 Hz) in adducts  $\underline{8}$ , which is far less pronounced in adducts  $\frac{1}{2}$  ( 0.4 Hz). The magnitude of this pseudo-homoallylic coupling<sup>18</sup> indicates a coplanar and anti-orientation of H-2 and H-9 in adducts  $\underline{8}$  as illustrated in figure 5. (3) Very large geminal coupling constant values for the allylic hydrogens at C-6 in adducts  $\frac{7}{1}$ . compared to adducts <u>8</u>. The observed values, i.e.  $\sim 21$  Hz, are among the largest ever reported in methylene groups  $^{19}$ , indicating a large  $_{\pi}$ -contribution to the geminal coupling constant  $^{20}$ . According to Barfield and Grant the magnitude and sign of this contribution depends on the dihedral angle between the methylene group and the adjacent  $\pi$ -bond and has the largest negative effect when the w-bond bisects the H-C-H angle $^{20\mathbf{a}}$ . Whereas the latter situation is accomodate in the preferred conformation of adducts  $\frac{7a}{5}$  and  $\frac{7b}{5}$ , i.e., the endocyclic torsion angle at C-5,C-6 = -6°, the same dihedral angle in the preferred form of  $8a$  and  $8b$  is quite larger, i.e.,  $= 37^{\circ}$ , and the corresponding geminal coupling constant smaller (16-18 Hz).



#### Scheme 3

# Intramolecular cycloaddition of allene 3b (scheme 3)

In contrast to the above acetylene cases, the allene derivative  $3b$  was found to cycloadd very readily. Upon concentration of a pure HPLC fraction spontaneous cycloaddition to yield a single diastereomer was observed. X-ray diffraction analysis showed it to be the  $a$ -adduct  $9b$ (figure  $6)^{12}$ . The observed chemoselective addition on the unactivated  $\pi$ -bond of the allene moiety is worth noting. Based on FOT theory alone product <u>11</u> would have been expected $^{21}.$  On the other hand, as is illustrated in figure 7 for the formation of an endo-adduct with a-oxygen bridge, the transition state geometry involved in the formation of  $11$  is destabilized by repulsive nonbonded interactions, especially between H-3 and the exo-methylene  ${\rm group}^{22}.$ 



Figure 7. Transition state geometries for the cycloaddition of allene 3b

Intramolecular Diels-Alder reactions involving allene-dienophiles are not common<sup>23,24</sup> . The present example, involving the room temperature cycloaddition of the unactivated  $\pi$ -bond of an allene moiety, is analogous to the recently reported facile intramolecular cycloadditions of allene carboxanilides<sup>25</sup>.



**2 : M-Oxygen bridge at C-3 j G : /)-oxygen bridge at C-3** 

Figure 8. Endocyclic torsion angles for the B-ring of adducts <u>9b</u> and <u>10b</u>; (<u>i</u>) : X-ray geometry or  $9b$ ; MM2 preferred conformations for <u>9b</u> (<u>ii</u>) and for <u>10b</u> (<u>iii</u>) with steric energies (kJoul/mol)

The preferred conformations of adducts  $9b$  and  $10b$  were also determined by force field calculations (see experimental). The preferred geometry of the B-ring in these adducts is shown in figure 8. Again, the calculated conformation of 9b is very close to the one observed in the crystalline state. As in the acetylene-derived adducts the C-ring adopts a distorted chair conformation in both 9b and 10b.

# Intramolecular cycloaddition of (Z)-olefins  $4a, b$  (scheme 4) and (E)-olefin 6b (scheme 5)

The (Z)-olefin 4b yields after 40 h refluxing in benzene a single diastereomeric adduct 12b ( 95 X conversion). Again, an acceleration in rate was observed when the reaction was conducted in water in the presence of  $B$ -cyclodextrin<sup>11</sup> : after 12 h at 50°C pure adduct <u>12b</u> was isolated in 92 X yield. Under the same conditions  $4a$  led to  $12a$  as the sole reaction product in 78 X isolated yield.



#### Scheme 4

In view of the reversible nature of the cycloaddition, especially **when** involving olefin dienophiles<sup>24b</sup>, the sole formation of exo-adduct  $12$  is not surprising. Force field calculations indicate a somewhat flattened chair conformation for the central B-ring (figure 9). The exo-diastereomer  $14$  and the two endo-adducts  $15$  and  $16$  were found to be much higher in energy; in view of the constraints imposed by the bridged systems at C-5,C-10 and at C-8.C-9. a boat-type conformation must be involved for the B-ring of  $14$  and 16, while 15 was found to be at least 25 kJoule/mol less stable than  $12$ .



The structural assignment of the observed adduct as  $12(a,b)$  is straightforward. The absence of visible coupling between H-3 and H-4 indicates a relation as in <u>12</u> or  $14^{26}$ . Distinction between the latter follows from chemical correlation. The conjugate hydride addition (sodium borohydride, MeOH) to adduct 7b yields a mixture of two reduction products (ratio 1:9), which

were shown to be identical with 12b and 18b, respectively, hence proving the «-stereochemistry in the latter. Adduct  $18b$  is the sole reaction product isolated from the intramolecular cycloaddition of (E)-olefin 6b (benzene, 80°C; isolated after 4 days, see kinetics). The geometry of the B-ring in the preferred conformation of 18b as deduced by force field calculations is also shown in figure 9. Again, as in the case of adducts  $\underline{7-8}$ , a nice correspondance is observed between the calculated and experimental coupling constants related to the  $(C-6, C-7)$ -fragment of  $12a$  and  $12b$  (table 2).

#### Kinetics (table 4)

The Diels-Alder reactions of  $2a$ .  $2b$ .  $4a$ .  $4b$ .  $5a$ .  $5b$  and  $6b$  were conducted in benzene at 80°C. The rates were monitored via HPLC and reflect the disappearance of starting furan. No rate data are available for the cycloaddition of <u>2a</u> due to the precipitation of the formed adducts in the reaction medium, and for the cycloaddition of  $\frac{4a}{2}$ , since substantial amounts of lactone  $17a$  were formed after 4 days (19 %). In contrast only trace amounts of the corresponding lactone 17b (< 5 %) were observed during reaction of  $4b$ . In contrast to the cycloaddition of  $4b$ . which yields an equilibrium mixture the reaction of the other oleflns run to completion (after 30 h (5a, 5b) and 4 days  $(\underline{6b})$ : > 95 %). Within the same epimeric series the (E)-olefin  $\underline{6b}$  reacts 4 times faster than the  $(2)$ -isomer  $4b$ ; the latter is about 7 times more reactive than the acetylene precursor <u>2b</u>. These results are qualitatively in line with the expectations  $^{2\,\prime}.$ 

Table 4. Observed first-order rate constants and half-live values for the cycloadditions of  $2b$ , 4b, 5a,b and 6b

substrate	$k_{obsd}$ . $10^5$ ( $\overline{s^{-1}}$ ) <sup>a</sup>	$t_{1/2}$ (hrs)	
2 <sub>b</sub>	$0.20^{D}$	92.6	
	1.4	13.7	
$\frac{4b}{5a}$	3.0	6.4	
$\underline{\mathbf{5b}}$	6,7	2.9	
6b	6.5	3.0	

<sup>a</sup> based on the disappearance of starting material (see experimental), estimated error of 10 %;  $^{\circ}$ sum of rate constants for the formation of 7b and 8b.

The enhanced reactivity of  $\underline{5b}$  compared to  $\underline{5a}$  (factor  $\sim$  2) translates into a small difference (ca 2 kJoule/mol) in transition state energies, that corresponds to the calculated difference in steric energies of 12a and 12b (figure 9). It is interesting to note that derivatizing the hydroxy groups at C-7 and C-13 (cf.  $4b + 5b$ ) results in a rate enhancement of 4. This effect, which is not easy to rationalize, may be due to relief of ground state strain in 5b (as compared to 4b) while proceeding to the **transition state.** 

#### Model for diastereoselectivity

In order to account for the observed diastereoselectivity in the kinetically controlled cycloadditions of  $2$  and  $3$ , we consider transition states in which the asynchronicity of the Diels-Alder reaction is reflected $^{28}.$  In view of the highest coefficient in the LUMO of the dienophile (cf. C-5) $^{\mathsf{27}}$ , these transition states correspond to geometries where the formation of the (C-5,C-10)-bond is more advanced than that of the (C-3,C-4)-bond (figure 10).



Figure 10. Transition state geometries for  $\alpha$  - and  $\beta$  -adduct formation

The eventual stereochemical outcome in favor of adducts with  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ -oriented oxygen bridge would be essentially determined by two factors : (1) the difference in conformational energy of the B-ring in both transition states; (2) the rate retardment in the formation of  $\beta$ -adduct, as compared **with the a-adduct,** caused by nonbonded interaction between H-l and the **two** o-oriented hydrogens at C-12 and C-14 in TTS-6. In cases where no substantial difference in conformational energy of the B-ring between the  $a -$  and  $B$ -adduct is expected, the stereochemical outcome would be determined primarily by the above nonbonded interactions  $^{30}$ , and would be in favor of  $\scriptstyle\alpha$ -adduc formation. This is indeed observed in the five-membered ring **case (eq** 1. n - 0) and also for the cycloaddition of  $3b$ . In contrast to the olefin case  $(4-6)$  where both effects would favor a-adduct formation, in the acetylene 2. the two effects oppose **each** other, the net result being a 85:15 predominance of 8-adduct  $\underline{8}$  in refluxing benzene. The presence of the above mentioned nonbonded interactions in  $g_a$  is revealed by the X-ray diffraction analysis : the interatomic distances between H-1 and H-12, and H-1 and H-14 are 2.25 Å and 2.43 Å, respectively (sum of effective van der Waals radii :  $2.4$   $\lambda$ ). The same distances in the calculated preferred conformation of <u>8a</u>, 8b and 9b equal 2.24 and 2.36  $\lambda$ , 2.23 and 2.35 A, and 2.21 and 2.15 A. respectively.

The synthetic potential of adducts  $8b$  and  $12b$  is currently under investigation.

Acknowledgments. We are indebted to the "Nationaal Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek" and the "Ministerie voor Wetenschapsbeleid" for financial support to the laboratory. J.H. thanks the I.W.O.N.L. for **a scholarship.** 

# EXPERIMENTAL<sup>31</sup>

H.ps. are uncorrected. IR spectra were spectra on a AEI MS-50 spectromete recorded on a Beckman IR-4230 spectrometer (cm ^), mass The H NMR spectra were recorded at 200 MHz (UP-Brucker) or at 360 MHz (WH-Brucker) with 'MS as internal standard in chloroform-d unless othervise stated. Rf values are quoted for Merck silicagel 60  $GF_{25,L}$  plates of thickness 0.25 mm. HPLC preparation were performed on Waters M 6000 A (RI) for Semi-preparative purposes or on Kontron 420/Sico Analytic lCD 201 (RI) for analytical purposes.

(5<u>R</u>)-5- $\left[(1\text{S})-2\text{exo}-(\text{Furan}-2-y1)-5-hydroxy-6-\text{methylene}-bicyclo\right]3.2.1joct$ ynoic acid methyl ester (2a), (5<u>S</u>)-... (2b), and (4<u>S</u>)-4- (1<u>S</u>)-2<u>exo</u>-Furan-2-yl)-5-hydroxy lene-bicyclo[3.2.l{oct-l-yl]-4-hydroxy-3<del>-me</del>thoxycarbonyl-l,2-butadiene (<u>3a</u>) and (4<u>R</u>)- ... (<u>3b</u>)<br><u>Method a</u> (cf. table 1, entry 1). To a cooled solution (-78°C) of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperid (383 mg. 2.71 mmol) in dry TBF (5 mL) were added n-butyllithium (1.57 mL of a 1.64 M solution In hexanes, 2.58 mmol) and HMPA (0.5 mt). After stirring at -78'C for 30 min, the solution was brought to -100°C and treated dropwise with a solution of 2-butynoic acid (108 mg, 1.29 mmol) in HMPA (0.5 mL). After stirring for 1 h at -80°C, a solution of aldehyde  $\underline{1}$  (100 mg, 0.431 mmol) in THF (0.6 mL) was added dropwise at -100°C. After stirring for 30 min at -100°C, the reactio mixture was brought to -60°C and quenched by the addition of wet ether (6 mL). After extractio (3 x) with saturated sodium bicarbonate (5 mL), the combined extracts were acidified with 10  $\frac{2}{3}$  $HCl$ , and the aqueous phase further extracted with ether, washed with brine and dried (magnesium<br>sulfate). After treatment of this solution with diazomethane at  $0^{\circ}C$ , the solution was After treatment of this solution with diazomethane at  $0^{\circ}C$ , the solution was concentrated in vacua. the residue dissolved in dichloromethane and filtered through a short silica gel (3 8) column. After concentration in vacua, the residual oil (67 X yield) **was** found by NMR to consist of a mixture of <u>2a, 2b, 3a</u> and <u>3b</u>, in the proportion of 8:61:7:2<br>respectively, as determined by HPLC (eluent : ethyl acetate-isooctane, l:1).

Method b (cf. table 1, entry 3). To a solution of n-butyllithium (2.26 mL of a 1.64 M solution in hexanes, 3.70 mmol) in pentane was added tetramethylethylenediamine (0.14 mL, 0.924 renal) at 0°C. After stirring for 1 h at 0°C. a solution of 2-butynoic acid (156 mg, 1.85 mmol) in TBF (1 mL) and pentane (1.63 mL) was added dropwise at -6O'C. After stirring for 2 h at -6O"C, a solution of the aldehyde 1 (100 mg, 0.431 nunol) in THF (0.2 mL) **va8 added dropwise.** After stirring for 2 h at -60°C, the reaction mixture is worked up as described above. The residua oil (40 X) was found to consist of the same mixture as above, in the proportion of 26:57:5:12. The same procedure carried out on aldehyde 1 **(1** g. 4.3 mmol) **gave,** after **HPl.C** purification 85 mg of <u>2a</u> (6 % yield), 256 mg of <u>2b</u> (18 %) and 57 mg of <u>3b</u> (4 %). From the neutral phase of the<br>reaction there was obtained, after purification on silica gel, 380 mg of unreacted aldehyde <u>l</u>  $(38 \; 3)$ .

For & : **m.p.** 115-116'C (ether). Rf 0.17 (toluene-ether, 3:2). IR (KBr) : 3400. 2235, 1710, 1680, 1630, 1610. NMR (200 HRa) : 7.35 (1H. **dd : 1.9. 0.8** Hz), **6.3t** (ltl, dd + LR : 3.2, 1.9 Hz), **6.17** (1H. **dd** : 3.2, 0.8 Hz), 5.10 (lH, br t : 2.5 Hz), 4.95 (iii, br t : 2.0 Hz), 3.75 (3H. s), 3.68 (1H, dd : 9.3, 3.4 Hz), 3.21 (1H, br d : 6.3 Hz) ppm. MS : m/z 330 (M+., 10), 312 (5), 304<br>(8), 298 (8), 215 (14), 193 (17), 181 (30), 165 (23), 124 (100), 91 (68), 77 (59), 55 (44), 41

(62).<br>For  $2b$  : m.p. 49-51°C (ether-hexane). For & : **m.p.** 49-51°C (ether-hexane). Rf 0.11 (toluene-ether, 3:2). IR (KBr) : 3400, 2230, 1705, 1630 (br). RMR (200 Kifz) : 7.32 (lH, dd : 1.9, 0.8 Hz), 6.32 (lH, dd : 3.2, 1.9 Hz). 6.13 (1H, dd : 3.2, 0.8 Hz), 5.08 (1H, br t : 2.5 Hz), 4.92 (1H, br t : 2.0 Hz), 3.75 (3H, s), 3.61<br>(1H, dd : 9.5, 2.7 Hz), 2.85 (1H, br d : 6.5 Hz) ppm. MS : m/z 330 (5), 312 (3), 298 (7), 286<br>(7), 268 (12), 253 (12), 240 (44) For <u>3a</u> (from combined HPLC analyses) : NMR (360 MHz) : 7.37 (lH, dd : 1.75, 0.5 Hz), 6.35 (lH,<br>dd : 3.25, 1.75 Hz), 6.23 (lH, br d : 3.0 Hz), 5.36 (lH, <u>A</u>Bd : 14.0, 2.0 Hz), 5.30 (lH, A<u>B</u>d : 14.0, 1.75 Hz), 5.07 (1H. t : 2.25 Hz). 4.93 (lH, br t : 2.0 Hz). 4.53 (lH, br t : 1.5 Rx). 3.69 (3H, s), 3.35 (1H. br d : 6.5 Hz) ppn.

For <u>3b</u> (contaminated with <u>9b</u>, see text) NMR (300 MHz) : 7.27 (1H, m), 6.27 (1H, dd : 3.0, 1.75 Hz), 6.06 (IH. br d : 3.25 Hz), 5.27 (ZH), 5.06 (lli), 4.69 (lH), 4.33 flH). 3.76 (3H. s). 3.05 (1H) ppm.

 $(5R)-5 [(1S)-2ex$ o-(Furan-2-yl)-5-hydroxy-6-methylene-bicyclo $[3.2.1]$ oct-l-yl]-5-hydroxy-(Z)-pent-2-enoic acid methyl ester  $(4a)$  and  $(5S)$ -...  $(4b)$ .

After saturation of a suspension of palladium on barium sulfate (5  $\overline{z}$ , 2.2 g) in dry ethyl acetate (200 mL) and quinoline  $(8.8 \text{ mL})$  with hydrogen (10 mL), a solution of acetylene  $2b$   $(5.0 \text{ g})$  in ethyl acetate (100 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was hydrogenated at rt under normal<br>pressure until hydrogen uptake ceased (l equiv). After filtration, the filtrate was washed (3 x) with 2 N HCl, brine, and concentrated in vacuo, yielding the Z-olefin  $4b$  (4.9 g, 96 % yield) as

an amorphous solid. Under identical conditions, the reduction of acetylene <u>2a</u> (1.23 g) gave<br>1.126 g of Z-olefin <u>4a</u> (91 %) as an amorphous solid.<br>For 4a : Rf 0.40 (ether). IR (film) : 3400, 1705 (br), 1630. NMR (200 MHz 3.43 (1H, dd : 10.5, 2.7 Hz), 3.22 (1H, br d : 7 Hz), 2.91 (1H, dddd : 15.0, 10.5, 8.0, 1.5 Hz),<br>2.69 (1H, dddd : 15.0, 7.5, 2.7, 1.5 Hz), 2.57 (1H, dt : 17.0, 2.5 Hz) ppm.<br>For <u>4b</u> : Rf 0.33 (ether). IR (film) : 3400, 17

1.9, 0.8 Hz), 6.35 (lH, ddd : 11.5. 9.0, 7.0 Hz). 6.30 (1H. dd : 3.2, 1.9 Hz), 6.12 (lH, dd : 3.2, 0.8 Hz). 5.93 (1H. dt : 11.5, 1.3 Hz), 5.08 (lH, br t : 2.5 Hz), 4.93 (lH, br t : 2.0 Hz), 3.73 (3ii, s), 3.42 (IH, dd : 9.7, 3.0 Hz), 2.96 (lli, br d : 6 Hz) **ppm.** 

#### The bis-trimethylsilylated derivatives of 4a and 4b.

To a solution of Z-olefin  $4b$  (0.32 g, 0.96 mmol) in DMF (7 mL) were added at 0°C triethylamine (1.34 mL. 9.6 mmol) and trimethylsilylchloride (0.575 g, 5.33 mmol). After stirring for 10 min at 0°C and 1 h at rt, the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of wet ether. The<br>organic phase was washed with brine, dried (potassium carbonate), and concentrated in vacuo (DMF traces) to yield 0.466 g of <u>5b</u> (97 % yield) as an oil the silyl derivative <u>5a</u> (95 %). In an identical way <u>4a</u> was converted to

For <u>5a</u> : Rf 0.52 (hexane-ethyl acetate, 9:l). NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) : 7.33 (lH), 6.32 (lH), 6.30 (1H);i6.07 (1H). 5.85 (lH), 5.07 (lH), 4.90 (1H). 3.74 (3H). 3.63 (1H. dd : 7.5, 4.5 Hz). 3.08 (1H) ppm.

For 5b : Rf 0.49 (hexane-ethyl acetate, 9:l). NMR (200 Mix) : 7.34 (IH), 6.38 (lH), 6.32 (lH), 6.13-H), 5.86 (1H), 5.04 (IH), 6.87 (IN), 3.72 (3H). 3.55 flH, dd : 6.5, 4.0 Hz) ppm.

(5S)-5- $[(1S)-2ex-5e^{-8}]$  (Furan-2-yl)-5-hydroxy-6-methylene-bicyclo $[3.2.1]$ oct-l-yl]-5-hydroxy-(E)-pent-2-enoic acid methyl ester (6b).

To a solution of the silyl derivative  $\underline{5b}$  (0.396 g, 0.83 mmol) in THF (6 mL) was added lithium ethyl thiolate (52 mg, 0.83 mmol). After stirring for 150 min at rt, ether (20 mL) was added, the organic phase washed with brine and concentrated in vacuo to yield 353 mg of bis trimethylsilylated <u>6b</u> (89 **%** yield) as an oil. 'H NMR analysis showed the absence of startin Z-olefin <u>5b</u>. To a solution of the above derivative (353 mg) in THF (10 mL) were added 2 N HCl (4 mL). After 5 min saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (6 mL) was added, and the organic phase washed with brine, dried and concentrated in vacuo. After purification on silica gel phase washed with brine, dried and concentrated in vacuo. After purification on silica gel<br>(eluent : ether-hexane, 4:1) 220 mg of <u>6b</u> were obtained as a gum (79 % yield). In contrast to<br>Z-olefins 4a and 4b, the E-olefin 6

dd : 1.9, 0.8 Hz), 7.12 (1H, ddd : 15.5, 8.1, 6.3 Hz), 6.30 (1H, dd : 3.2, 1.9 Hz), 6.10 (1H,<br>dd : 3.2, 0.8 Hz), 5.90 (1H, dt : 15.5, 1.1 Hz), 5.08 (1H, t : 2.5 Hz), 4.92 (1H, t : 2.0 Hz),<br>3.48 (1H, dd : 9.5, 2.5 Hz), 3.74

ppm. MS : m/z 332 (1), 300 (11), 282 (6), 215 (11), 193 (11), 191 (16), 188 (14), 1/5 (15), 147<br>(20), 129 (21), 117 (24), 108 (72), 95 (82), 94 (80), 91 (55), 41 (100).<br>For bis-trimethylsilylated <u>6b</u> : Rf 0.50 (hexane-eth 2.5 Hz), 2.93 (1H), 2.75 (1H) ppm.

# $(6R)$ -6- $[(1S)$ -2exo-(Furan-2-y1)-5-hydroxy-6-methylene-bicyclo $[3.2.1]$ oct-l-yl]-5,6-hydro-pyran-2one  $(17b)$ .

When the above procedure for the preparation of 6b is applied to the unprotected olefin 4b, lactone 17b is obtained in 58 % yield. Thus, to a solution of lithium ethyl thiolate (2.5 mg, 0.036 mmol) in dry THF (2 mL), was added <u>4b</u> (40 mg, 0.12 mmol), and the solution stirred for i<sub>i</sub>n under nitrogen - the reaction **was worked** up by the addition of a few drops of 0.1 N HCl **at O°C**  and extracted with ether. After washing with saturated sodium bicarbonate and brine, concentra-

tion of the organic phase in vacuo gave 21 mg of lactone <u>17b</u>.<br>For <u>17b</u> : m.p. 78–79°C (ether). Rf 0.18 (toluene-ether, 3:2). IR (KBr) : 3400, 1700, 1650. <mark>NMR</mark> (200 MHz) : 7.25 (1H, dd : 1.9, 0.8 Hz), 6.83 (1H,  $\overline{3:2}$ ). IR (KBr) : 3400, 1700, (200 MHz) : 7.25 (1H, dd : 1.9. 0.8 Hz), 6.83 (1H, dd : 9.5, 6.5, 2.0 Hz), 6.26 (1H, dd : 3.9,<br>1.9 Hz), 6.11 (1H, d : 3.5 Hz), 5.92 (1H, ddd : 9.5, 3.0, 1.0 Hz), 5.07 (1H, t : 2.5 Hz), 4.86<br>(1H, t : 2.0 Hz), 4.16 (1H, dd : 2.5 Hz), 2.56 (lH, dddd : 18.0, 6.5, 3.5, 1.0 Hz) ppm.

(3R)-6t.9-Dihydroxy-8-methylene-(llat)-5,6,7,8,9,10,11,1la-octahydro-3r,1lbc-epoxido-6ac,9c**aethano-cyclohepta [a]naphtalene-4-carboxylic acid methyl ester (7a) and (3<u>S</u>)-6<u>c</u>,9-Dihydroxy-8** thylene-(lla<u>c</u>)-5,6,7,8,9,10,11,11a-octahydro-3<u>r</u>,1lb<u>c</u>-epoxido-6a<u>t</u>,9<u>t</u>-methano-cyclohepta [<u>a</u>]nap talene-4-carboxylic acid methyl ester (8a).

**A solution of acetylene & (330** mg) in dry benzene (10 ml.) **vas** refluxed under nitrogen for 7 days, during which time adducts <u>7a</u> and <u>8a</u> partly precipitated. After 90 h the collected<br>precipitate was washed with ether, yielding 113 mg of pure <u>8a</u>. After a further 77 h, the new precipitate (110 mg) was shown by NMR to consist of a 8:1 mixture of <u>8a</u> and <u>7a</u>, respectively<br>After concentration of the filtrate in vacuo, the residue was purified by column chromatograph<br>on silica gel (eluent : hexane Combined yield of adducts <u>7a</u> and <u>8a</u> : 82 %, ratio 1:5.8, respectively. Both pure adducts are<br>quasi insoluble in regular organic solvents at rt, except DMSO and pyridine. Suitable crystals for the X-ray diffraction analysis of  $8a$  were obtained from the slow evaporation of a saturate solution in hot methanol.<br>For  $\frac{7a}{9a}$ : m.p. 120-122°C (acetone).

For 7a : **m.p.** 120-122'C (acetone). Rf 0.21 (ethyl acetate-hexane, 2:3). IR (KBr) : 3370, 1740.  $1695\overline{\phantom{1}}(\rm{{\textbf{s}}})$ , 1650, 1633, 1625. NMR (360 MHz, pyridine-d $_{\sf c})$  : 7.26 (1H, dd : 5.2, 2.0 Hz), 6.89 (1H, d : 5.2 Hz). 5.76 (lH, d : 2.0 Hz). 5.54 (lH, q : 2.2 Hz), 4.14 (lH, t : 8.6 Hz), 3.63 (3H, s). 3.55 (lH, dt : 16.9. 2.8 Hz), 3.42 (1H. ABd : 21, 8.6 Hz), 3.27 (1H. A&l : 21. 8.6 Hz) ppm. MS **: m/z** 330 (l), 312 (17), 298 (15). 280 (17). 183 (8), 181 (lo), 175 (13), 165 (17), 151 (18), 136 (28), 124 (30), 115 (24), 103 (32), 91 (42), 77 (40), 55 (45), 44 (100), 41 (76).<br>For <u>8a</u> : no m.p. below 250°C (MeOH). Rf 0.21 (hexane—ethyl acetate, 2:3). IR (KBr) : 3380, 1700

(s),  $\overline{1670}$ , 1650. NMR (360 MHz, DMSO-d ) : 7.30 (1H, br d : 5.3 Hz), 7.20 (1H, d : 5.3 Hz), 5.41 (1H. d : 1.8 Hz), 5.12 (lH, d : 5.1 l&), 4.97 (lH, br s). 4.77 (1H. br 9). 3.67 (3H. 9). 3.57 (1H. dt : 17.1, 2.6 Hz), 3.49 (IH, dt : 10.5 Hz), 3.38 (IH. dd : 16.7, 4.9 Hz). 2.21 (lH, dq : 17.1, 2.2 Hz) ppm. MS **: m/z** 330 (1), 312 (5). 298 (6). 280 (9). 207 (11). 181 (22). 165 (21). 136 (25). 124 (47), 115 (29). 91 (36). 77 (38), 44 (100).

 $(3R)$ -6c,9-Dihydroxy-8-methylene-(llat)-5,6,7,8,9,10,11,1la-octahydro-3 $\underline{r}$ ,l thano-cyclohepta [a]naphtalene-4-carboxylic acid methyl ester (7b) and (3<u>S</u>)-6t,9-Dihydrox thylene-(llac)-5.6,7,8,9,10,11,lla-octahydro-3r, llbc-epoxido-6at,9t-methano-cyclohepta [a]naph talene-4-carboxylic acid methyl ester  $(8b)$ .

A guspension of & (1.10 g, 3.33 mmol) in water (37.8 mL) vas sonicated for 10 min until a milky emulsion resulted. After addition of B-cyclodextrin hydrate (3.78 g, 3.33 mmol), the mixture vas stirred at 45°C for 9 days (complete by TLC). The reaction was worked up by the addition of<br>ethyl acetate (100 mL) and water (20 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted thoroughly with ethyl<br>acetate (5 x 60 mL). The organic e vacuo. The solid residue was washed with ether (3 x), leaving 730 mg of pure 8b as a white<br>powder. After concentration of the ether phase the social subset of pure 8b as a white **povder .** After concentration of the ether phase, the residue vas purified by chromatography on silica gel yielding a further 90 mg of 8b and 66 mg (6 % yield) of 7b. The combined yield of 8b is 75 %. Pure <u>7b</u> and <u>8b</u> are scarcely soluble in organic solvents, except DMSO and pyridine Suitable crystals of 8J for X-ray diffraction analysis vere obtained from the slow evaporation of a saturated hot acetone solution.

For <u>7b</u> : m.p. 165-167°C (acetone). Rf 0.38 (ethyl acetate-hexane, 5:l). IR (KBr) : 3450, 1680,<br>1635. NMR (360 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>e</sub>) : 7.19 (1H, dd : 5.1, 2.0 Hz), 6.82 (1H, d : 5.1 Hz), 5.49 (1H, d : NMR (360 MHz, DW-d6) : 7.19 (1H. dd : 5.1, 2.0 Hz). 6.82 (lH, d : 5.1 Hz), 5.49 (1H. d : 2.0 Hz), 4.91 (IH, br q : 1.8 Hz). 4.76 (lH, br q : 1.8 Hz), 4.92 (1H. d : 3.4 Hz), 4.73 (lH, s), 3.67 (3H, s), 3.66 (lH, br d : 5.5, 1 Hz), 3.17 (1H. dd : 21.3. 5.4 Hz). 2.76 (lH, br d : 7.2 Hz) ppm. MS : m/z 330 (3). 312 (2), 298 (12), 286 (3). 240 (46), 202 (36), 181 (34), 165 (48). 124 (55), 121 (57). 115 (71), 105 (87), 95 (67), 91 (95). 77 (100).

For 8b For <u>8b</u> : m.p. 219-221°C (acetone). Rf 0.29 (ethyl acetate-hexane, 5:1). IR (KBr) : 3330, 1705,<br>1655 cm<sup>-1</sup>. NMR (360 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>c</sub>) : 7.29 (1H, ddd : 5.4, 1.9, 1.1 Hz), 7.19 (1H, d : 5.4 Hz), 5.40 (lH, NMR (360 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) : 7.29 (lH, ddd : 5.4, 1.9, 1.1 Hz), 7.19 (lH, d : 5.4 Hz),<br>: 1.9 Hz), 4.96 (lH, br q : 2.5 Hz), 4.79 (lH, br q : 1.9 Hz), 4.92 (lH, s), 4.91 (1H. d : 3.6 Hz). 3.65 (3H, s), 3.58 (lH, q : 3.0 Hz), 3.31 (1H. dd : 17.5, 2.6 Hz). 2.22 (lH, dd : 17.5, 3.2 Hz) ppm. MS : m/z 330 (1)..312 (13), 280 (32). 262 (18), 253 (13), 240 (23). 221 (IS), 212 (26), 196 (52). 181 (42), 165 (50). 128 (44). 115 (66). 91 (60). 77 (76). 44 (100).

(3S)-6t,9-Dihydroxy-8-methylene-(llat)-4,6,7,8,9,10,11,1la-octahydro-3r,1lbc-epoxido-6ac,9c-methano-cyclohepta  $\lceil \frac{a}{2} \rceil$ naphtalene-5-carboxylic acid methyl ester  $(9b)$ .

During the isolation of allene 3b, spontaneous cycloaddition to 9b was observed upon concentration of a pure HPLC fraction (vide supra). Reaction was complete at rt in the soli $\,$ state fater 4 days. Suitable crystals for X-ray diffraction analysis vere obtained by slow

crystallziation from a hot MeOH solution.<br>For <u>9b</u> : m.p. : 216°C. IR (KBr) : 3400 (br), 1680, 1630, 1610 cm<sup>-1</sup>. NMR (360 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)<br>6.70 (1H, dd : 5.5, 1.8 Hz), 5.99 (1H, d : 5.5 Hz), 5.10 (1H, dd : 4.0, 1.8 Hz), 4 NMR (360 MHz,  $DMSO-d_6$ ): 4.79 (1H. br 9). 4.11 (lH, s), 3.66 (3H. s), 2.38 and 2.27 (lH, AB : 16 Hz) **ppm.** 

(3R)-6c,9-Dihydroxy-8-methylene-(4at,1lat)-4,4a,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,1la-decahydro-3r,1lbc-epoxido-**6a~.9c\_methano-cyclohepta rglnaphtalene\_4c-carboxylic acid methyl eater (12a) and (3&)-6~.. . .**   $(12b)$ .

**A** suspension of Z-olefin 4b (840 mg, 2.53 mmol) and  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin hydrate (2.872 g, 2.53 mmol) in water (30 mL) was stirred at 50°C for 12 h. The residue, obtained after work-up (cf. <u>7b</u>, <u>8b</u>)<br>was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluent : methylene chloride<del>-</del>ethyl acetate 4:1) to yield 770 mg (92  $\bar{x}$ ) of <u>12b</u> as a powder. In the same way, there was obtained from 4 $i$ 

(36 mg, 0.11 mmol) 28 mg of the adduct <u>12a</u> (78 % yield).<br>For <u>12a</u> : m.p. 173–174°C (ether). Rf 0.09 (hexane-ethyl acetate, 2:3). IR (KBr) : 3400, 1730,<br>1660, 1630, 1615. NMR (200 MHz) : 6.37 (1H, dd : 5.7, 1.9 Hz), 6 11.5. 3.6 Hz). 2.62 (lH, d : 8.2 Hz) ppm. MS : m/x332 (16). 314 (2). 300 (3). 282 (3), 255 (2), 215 (16). 145 (19), 129 (24), 121 (35). 117 (24), 115 (25). 108 (36). 107 (38). 97 (39), 95 (53), 94 (lOO), 91 (52). 81 (45). 79 (42). 77 (44). 69 (37), 55 (35), 41 (64).

For <u>12b</u> : m.p. 83-84°C (ether). Rf 0.11 (ethyl acetate-isooctane, 3:2). IR (KBr) : 3400, 1725,<br>1660. NMR (200 MHz) : 6.36 (1H, dd : 5.6, 1.7 Hz), 6.08 (1H, d : 5.6 Hz), 5.12 (1H, d : 1.7 Hz),<br>5.05 (1H, d : 2.5, 0.5 Hz), 2.61 (1H, d : 8.5 Hz), 2.22 (1H, dd : 10, 1.5 Hz) ppm. MS : m/z 332 (4), 232 (3), 215 (5), 165<br>(14), 129 (26), 128 (24), 115 (38), 95 (51), 94 (59), 91 (65), 77 (61), 55 (58), 43 (62), 41 (100).

#### The sodium borohydride reduction of adduct 7b

A **solution of** adduct 7b (36 me, 0.11 mmol) in dry MeOH (1 mL) was treated with an excess sodium borohydride (65 mg).  $\overline{\text{After stirring}}$  for 4 h at rt, the reaction was worked up by the addition of saturated ammonium chloride. After extraction with ethyl acetate, the organic phase was dried<br>and concentrated in vacuo, yielding 26 mg (78 %) of a 9:1 mixture of 18b and 12b, respectively and concentrated in vacuo, yielding 26 mg (78 %) of a 9:1 mixture of <u>18b</u> and <u>12b</u>, respectivel<br>('H NMR). Pure <u>18b</u> was further obtained by HPLC purification (eluent : hexane-ethyl acetate 1:l).

For  $18b$ : m.p. 180-181°C (ether). Rf 0.23 (ether). IR (KBr) : 3465, 3390, 1710. NMR (200 MHz) : 6.28 (lH, dd : 5.7, 1.5 Hz), 6.17 (lH, d : 5.7 Hz), 5.11 (18, dd : 4.7, 1.5 Hz), 5.04 (lH, t : 2.5 Hz), 4.92 (lH, br t : 2 Hz), 3.64 (4H, m). 2.73 (lH, dd : 4.7, 3.0 Hz) ppm. H8 : m/z 332 '1 7), 314 (l), 296 (1). 214 (12). 120 (42). 106 (45), 93 (62). 92 (loo), 90 (62), 80 (67). 78  $(67), 76$  (75).

The mono-acetylation of adducts <u>7a, 8a, 7b. 8b</u>.<br>A solution of the diol (50–100 mg, 0.15-0.30 mmol) in dry methylene chloride (ca 10 mL/mmol) containing pyridine (50 equiv) and-acetic anhydride (25 equiv) was stirred at rtfor 12 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of ice and MeOH. After stirring for a further 20 min,<br>methylene chloride (15 mL/mmol) was added and the organic phase washed with sodium bicarbonate, dilute HCl and brine. After drying, the residue, obtained upon concentration in vacua, was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate, 1:l) to give the 7-acetory derivatives of  $7a$ ,  $8a$  and  $7b$  in nearly quantitative yield (94-97  $\bar{x}$ ). In the case of  $8b$  there was obtained a 1:3 mixture of monoacetate and starting diol 8b.

For 7-acetoxy-<u>7a</u> : oil. Rf 0.25 (hexane-ethyl acetate, 1:1). NMR (200 MHz) : 7.19 (1H, dd+LR :<br>5.2, 2.0 Hz), 6.70 (1H, d : 5.2 Hz), 5.57 (1H, d : 2.0 Hz), 5.08 (1H, t : 2.5 Hz), 5.00 (1H, t :<br>8.5 Hz), 4.92 (1H, t : 2.0 8.5 Hz). 2.65 (1H. dt: 17.0, 2.5 Hz). 2.26 (1H. br d : 6.5 Hz). 2.11 (3H, s) ppm.

For 7-acetoxy-<u>7b</u> : oil. Rf 0.65 (hexane-ethyl acetate, 1:5). NMR (200 MHz) : 7.18 (1H, ddd :<br>5.1, 1.8, 0.4 Hz), 6.76 (1H, d : 5.1 Hz), 5.60 (1H, d : 1.8 Hz), 5.05 (1H, t : 2.5 Hz), 4.99 (1H, dd : 6.4, 1.1 Hz), 4.90 (lH, t : 2.0 Hz), 3.74 (3H, s), 3.46 (lH, dd : 21.1, 6.4 Hz). 2.73 (1H. br d : 7.0 Hz), 2.61 (lH, br d : 21.1, 1.1 Hz) ppm.

For 7-acetoxy-<u>8a</u> : m.p. 162-163°C (ether). Rf 0.19 (hexane-ethyl acetate, 1:1). IR (KBr) : 3300, 1740, 17 $\overline{20}$ , 1700, 1670. NMR (200 MHz) : 7.27 (1H, ddd : 5.4, 1.9, 1.0 Hz), 7.17 (1H, d : 5.4 Hz), 5.55 (lH, d : 1.9 Hz), 5.11 (lH, t : 2.5 Hz), 4.98 (lH, dd : 11.0, 4.9 Hz), 4.95 (lH, t : 2.0 Hz), 3.74 (3H, s), 3.65 (lH, dd : 16.5, 5.0 Hz), 2.62 (lH, dt : 17.0, 2.5 Hz), 2.09 (3H, s) ppm.

For 7-acetoxy-<u>7b</u> : m.p. 190-191°C (ether). Rf 0.49 (ethyl acetate-hexane, 5:l). NMR (200 MHz) :<br>7.28 (1H, ddd : 5.5, 1.9, 0.9 Hz), 7.16 (1H, d : 5.5 Hz), 5.56 (1H, d : 2.0 Hz), 5.09 (1H, t : 2.5 Hz) 4.94 (lH, t : 2.0 Hz), 4.99 (lH, dd : 3.2, 2.5 Hz). 3.73 (3H. s). 3.59 (1H. dd : 18.2, 2.5 Hz), 2.31 (1H, dd : 18.2, 3.2 Hz), 2.05 (3H, s) ppm.

#### Kinetic measurements

The cycloaddition reaction of  $2a$ ,  $2b$ ,  $4a$ ,  $4b$ ,  $5a$ ,  $5b$  and  $6b$  was performed with 100 mg samples in dilute benzene solution (1.5  $\overline{x}$  for the olefins  $4-6$ ; 0.8  $\overline{x}$  for acetylene 2b) at the reflux temperature of benzene (80°C). The reaction was followed over 2-3 half-lives, except in the case of  $2b$  (0.6 half-life) by injecting 60 ul aliquots at regular time intervals (6-7 times); in the  $di\overline{o}$  cases (2b, 4a, 4b, 6b) direct injection from benzene solution, for the silylated derivatives (5a, 5b), the samples were first freed from benzene and injected in the eluent of the analysis (hexane-ethyl acetate, 2O:l). The concentration of starting material was determined by measuring the peak heights (HPLC; eluent : hexane-ethyl acetate, 2:3) in the linear region of the calibration curve. All reactions showed good first-order kinetic behavior. The  $t_{1/2}$  and first-order rate constants were obtained from the raw data after least-squares treatment. - The cycloaddition of acetylenes  $2a$  and  $2b$  was interrupted after 7 days. No reproducibl results were obtained in the case of <u>2a</u> due to the precipitation of the formed adducts during the reaction.

- The cycloaddition of olefins <u>4a</u> (and <u>4b</u>) was interrupted after 4 days. Purification of the<br>residue on silica gel (eluent : hexane-ethyl acetate, 1:1) gave, next to starting material and

adduct <u>12a</u> (and <u>12b</u>), also pure lactone <u>17a</u> (and traces of <u>17b</u>).<br>For <u>17a</u> : oil. Rf 0.19 (hexane-ethyl acetate, 2:3). IR (film) : 3400, 1705. NMR (200 MHz) :<br>7.33 (1H, dd : 1.9, 0.8 Hz), 6.84 (1H, ddd : 9.5, 6. (1H, dd : 3.2, O.8 Hz), 5.99 (1H, ddd : 9.5, 2.7, O.9 Hz), 5.11 (1H, t : 2.5 Hz), 4.98 (1H, t :<br>2.1 Hz), 4.38 (1H, dd : 12.8, 3.9 Hz), 3.41 (1H, br d), 2.63 (1H, dt : 17.8, 2.5 Hz) ppm.<br>- The cycloaddition of bis-trimethyl

yielding only adducts <u>13a</u> and <u>13b</u>, respectively (~H NMR).<br>For <u>13a</u> : NMR (2OO MHz) : 6.39 (1H, dd : 5.5, 1.5 Hz), 6.05 (1H, d : 5.5 Hz), 5.17 (1H, d : 1.5<br>Hz), 5.05 (1H, m), 4.88 (1H, m), 3.75 (3H, s), 3.55 (1H, dd :

3.0 Hz), 2.63 (1H, d : 8.5 Hz) ppm.<br>For <u>13b</u> : NMR (200 MHz) : 6.33 (1H, dd : 5.5, 1.6 Hz), 6.18 (1H, d : 5.5 Hz), 5.10 (1H, d : 1.6<br>Hz), 5.10 (1H, m), 4.84 (1H, br m), 3.70 (3H, s), 3.53 (1H, dd : 3.8, 1.8 Hz), 2.58 (1H, Hz) ppm.

#### **Molecular Hodelling**

**Calculations of optimized geometries and of the q\$,eric SCA program (enhanced graphics version) energies "79 performed using a combination and MacroMel** . The **present version of SCA**  runs on IEM compatible PC's and generates, starting from a graphically entered 2D-structural diagram of a polycyclic molecule (5-, 6-, and 7-membered composite rings), all reasonabl conformations including approximate conformational energies. For each conformation, the **correapondlng Cartesian coordinates of all entered atoms are deduced, which can be uaed, either for the graphic display of the various forma, or for entering direct input files for Allinger's WE'2 program or for further manipulation by MacroModel. The latter programs run on a Mlcrovax-II**  configuration, vith Tektronix 4207 graphics terminal and Tektronix 4957 data tablet.The following features of MacroModel have been used : (1) calculation of steric energies using Allinger's MM2 force field and block diagonal Newton Raphson minimization until RMS 0.1 or better was reached; **(2) a further torsional search around the appendages (i.e., noncyclic bonds), in particular the**  hydroxyl groups at C-13 and at C-7, and the methoxycarbonyl group at C-4 (or C-6 in the case of<br>9<u>b</u> and 10b); (3) the calculation of vicinal coupling constants (H NMR), according to ref. 16.

#### X-ray analyses of 7b. 8a. and 9b.

The intensities of the **measured reflections (table 5) were collected on a** Nicolet R3 4 circles diffractometer using MoK<sub>W</sub> graphite monochromatized radiation. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by the program SHELXTL with anisotropic thermal parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms **and constrained distances for hydrogen atoms.** f2 **Relevant crystal data are included** in Table 5. atomic coordinatea in **Table 6.** 

table 5. official data of the oal and sp					
	<u>zь</u>	8a	9b		
space group	monoclinic, $P_a 2_1/c$	orthorhombic P b2, a	triclinic PT		
a	$10.536(2)$ A	$7.735(5)$ $\lambda$	$8.472(3)$ Å		
ь $\blacksquare$	12.737(2)	13,366(7)	9,806(4)		
C $\blacksquare$	12.887(2)	16.036(8)	10.546(3)		
$\blacksquare$ $\alpha$	$90^{\circ}$	$90^{\circ}$	$79.92(3)^{o}$		
В	108.39(1)	90	71.05(2)		
	90	90	71.59(3)		
			$783.7(5)\AA$ <sup>3</sup>		
	$\frac{1641.0(5)\lambda^3}{1.34 \text{ g cm}^{-3}}$	$\frac{1660.7(9)\lambda^3}{1.28 \text{ g cm}^{-3}}$	1.40 $\text{g cm}^{-3}$		
Reflections measured	1816	1520 (2 octants)	2458		
Reflections observed $I_2 3q(I)$	1701	764	2353		
2 ⊖	$45^\circ$	$40^\circ$	$50^{\circ}$		
$R$ value	0.040	0.027	0.056		

 $Table 5.$  Crystal data of  $7h$ ,  $8a$ , and  $9h$ 

# Table 6. Atomic coordinates  $(x 10<sup>4</sup>)$  for non-hydrogen atoms



#### **4618 G. APPENDINO et al.**

#### **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

- 1. Present address : Dipartimento di Scienza e Tecnologia del Farmaco, Torino (Italy).
- **2. Senior Research Associate of the National Fund for Scientific Research (Belgium).**
- **3. For recent reviews on the IMDA reaction, see : A.G. Fallis. Can. J. Chem., 62, 183 (1984); E. Ciganek, Organic Reactions, 32. 1 (1984). For a recent review on the use of 'furan as intermediate in organic synthesis including MDA, see .: B.H. Lipahutx, Chem. Rev., 86, 795 (1966).**
- 
- 4. W.M. Grootaert and P.J. De Clercq, Tetrahedron Lett., <u>27</u>, 1731 (1986).<br>5. For a preliminary report, see : J. Hoflack, G. Appendino, and P.J. De Clercq, Abstrac<br>presented at the Belgian Organic Synthesis Symposium-1, N
- **6. J.R. Hanson and J. Hawker. J. Chem. Sot.. Chem. Cornnun.. 208 (1971): J.E. Graebe. P. Hedden and J. MacMillan, ibid., 161 (1975).**
- 7. (a) W.M. Grootaert, R. Mijngheer, and P.J. De Clercq, Tetrahedron Lett., <u>23</u>, 3287 (1982) (b) W.M. Grootaert and P.J. De Clercq, ibid., <u>23</u>, 3291 (1982)
- **8. (a) B.S. Pitzele. J.S. Bsran, and D.H. Steinman. J. Org. Chem.. 9, 269 (1975); (b) C. Celia Shen and C. Ainsworth. Tetrahedron Lett.. 83 (1979).**
- **9. H. Van Meerssche. J.P. Declercq, U.H. Grootaert, and P.J. De Clercq, Bull. Sot. Chim. Beiges, 9l, 819 (1982).**
- **.O. H.-J. Gals, Angeu. Chem., 96, 142 (1984).**
- l. D.C. Rideout and R. Breslow, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>102</u>, 7816 (1980). For an example of an IMDAF reaction, catalyzed by  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin, see : D.D. Sternbach and D.M. Rossana, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104, 5853 (1982).
- **12. (a) The atomic coordinates for this work are available on request from the Director of the Cambridge Crystallographic Date Centre, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road,**  Cambridge CB2 IEW. Any request should be accompanied by the full literature citatio **(b) Supplementary data available** : **atomic coorinates and isotropic thermal parameters for hydrogen and non-hydrogen atoms; bond lengths and bond angles: anisotropic thermal parameters: observed and calculated structure factors. See** : **Tetrahedron 40(2). ii (1984).**
- **(c) For all further informations concerning X-ray analyses contact G.C. 13. For reviews, see** : **N.L. Allinger, Adv. Phys. Org. Chem., l3, 1 (1976); U. Burkert, N.L. Allinger, "Molecular Mechanics": American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1982. The version of the MM2 urosram used is that available on MacroModel, (version 1.5), which corresponds to Allinger's August 1985 parameter set.**
- **14. J. Hoflack and P.J. De Clercq, unpublished results** : **a full graphics oriented version (for use on IBM compatible PC's) of the program described in** : **P.J. De Clercq, Tetrahedron, 40, 3717. 3729 (1984).**
- **15. MacroModel. version 1.5, kindly provided to us by Professor C. Still (Columbia University, New York).**
- **16. C.A.G. Haasnoot, F.A.A.M. de Leeuw, and C. Altona, Tetrahedron, 36, 2783 (1980)**
- 17, Z. Samek and M. Budesinsky, Collect. Czechoslov. Chem. Commun., 44, 558 (1979).<br>18. S.H. Grover and J.B. Stothers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>91</u>, 4331 (1969); see, also : M.J. Anteuni
- **and R. Camerlynck. J. Chem. Sot., Perkin II, 1434 (1975). 19. R.C. Cookson. T.A. Crabb, J.J. Frankel. and J. Hudec, Tetrahedron, suppl. 1, 355 (1966);**
- **R.Cahill. R.C. Cookson. and T.A. Cragg, Tetrahedron, 25, 4681, 4711 (1969). 20. (a) H. Bar-field and D.H. Grant, J. Am. Chem. Sot.,** &, **1899 (1963): (b) G.E. Msciel, J.W. McIver, Jr., N.S. Ostlund, and J.A. Pople, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>92</u>, 4151 (1970)**
- **(c) D. Montecalvo and M. St.-Jacques, J. Org. Chem., @. 940 (1975). 21. D. Pasta, Tetrahedron, 2, 2805 (1984). See, also** : **E. Sonveaux, J. Andre, J. Delhalle, and**
- **J. Eripiat. Bull. Sot. Chim. Beiges. 94, 831 (1985); E. Han, I. Lee, B. Chang, Bull. Korean Chem. Sot.. 4. 197 (1983). 22. J.-L. Gras, J. Chem. Res. (S), 300 (1982): J.-L. Gras and A. Guerin. Tetrahedron Lett., 26,**
- **1781 (1985).**
- **23. (a) S. Nelson, J. Gillespie, P. Hintz, and E. Seppanen, J. Am. Chem. SOC., 95, 8380 (1973); (b) G. Himbert and D. Fink, Tetrahedron Lett., 26, 4363 (1985); (c) K. Hayakawa. M. Yodo. S.**
- **24. Ohsuki and K. Kanematsu, J. Am. Chem. Sot., 106. 6735 (1984): and references cited therein. For IMDAF reactions involving allene-dienophiles, see** : **(a) K. Hayakawa, Y. Yamaguchi, and K.Kanematsu. Tetrahedron Lett., 26, 2689 (1985); (b) P. Missiaen and P. De Clercq, Bull.**
- **25.**  Soc. Chim. Belges, 96, 105 (1987).<br>G. Himbert and L. Henn, Ang. Chemie, Int. Ed. Engl., <u>21</u>, 620 (1982); G. Himbert, K. Diehl<br>and G. Maas, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 900 (1984); see also reference 23b.
- **26. U.L. Nelson and D.R. Allen, J. Heterocyclic Chem.. 2. 561 (1972). See, also : P.J. De Clercq and L.A. Van Royen, Synthet. Commun.. 2, 771 (1979), and note 18 therein.**
- 
- **2'::**  J. Sauer, Ang. Chem., 79, 76 (1967); J. Sauer and R. Sustmann, Ang. Chem., 92, 773 (1980).<br>M.J.S. Dewar and A.B. Pierini, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>106</u>, 203 (1984); M.J.S. Dewar, J. Am. Chem.<br>Soc., <u>106</u>, 209 (1984). See, also (1985); **Y.-T. Lin and K.N. Houk, Ibid., <u>26</u>, 2717 (1985), and examples cited therei**
- **29. 30. Also in the allene 2; see reference 21. See, e.g.** : **R.K. Boeckman. S.S. Ko. J. Am. Chem. SOC.,** 104, **1033 (1982); W.R. Roush, A.I. Ko.**
- **31. and H.R. Gillis, J. Org. Chem. 45, 4267 (1980). We thank Dr. 0. Weissbach of the Beilstein-Institut (Frankfurt am Hain) for the preferred**
- **32. nomenclature of the compounds mentioned in this section. G.M. Sheldrick "SHELKTL". revision 3. "An Integrated System for Solving, Refining and Displaying Crystal Structures from Diffraction Deta". Univ. of GBttingen, 1981.**